6.0



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/775,279	02/01/2001	David Karl Bidner	200-0824	8275
7:	590 01/11/2002			
Edward Timmer c/o John D. Russell Ford Global Technologies, Inc. One Parklane Blvd., 600 East Parklane Towers			EXAMINER	
			TRAN, DALENA	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Dearbon, MI	48126	•	3661 DATE MAILED: 01/11/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
, si <sub>P</sub> e					
•	ing Action Summany	09/775,279	BIDNER ET AL.		
Oili	ce Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
<del></del>	.:	DALENA TRAN	3661		
<i> ۱ ne ۱۸۱.</i> Period for Reply	AILING DATE of this communication app	lears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
THE MAILING  - Extensions of tin after SIX (6) MO  - If the period for r  - If NO period for r  - Failure to reply v  - Any reply receive	ED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY BOATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. The may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. The provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. The provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: NTHS from the mailing that the provision of the provi	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim (within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the course the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
1)⊠ Respo	nsive to communication(s) filed on <u>01 F</u>	ebruary 2001 .			
2a) This a	ction is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ Th	is action is non-final.			
	this application is in condition for allowa in accordance with the practice under				
Disposition of C	laims				
4)⊠ Claim(s	) <u>1-12</u> is/are pending in the application	).			
4a) Of ti	he above claim(s) is/are withdra	wn from consideration.			
5) Claim(s	s) is/are allowed.				
6)⊠ Claim(s	s) <u>1-12</u> is/are rejected.				
7) Claim(s	s) is/are objected to.				
8) Claim(s	s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.			
Application Pap	ers				
9)☐ The spe	cification is objected to by the Examine	r.			
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.					
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.					
12) The oath cr declaration is objected to by the Examiner.					
Priority under 35	5 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120				
13) Acknow	ledgment is made of a claim for foreigr	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	)-(d) or (f).		
a)∐ All b	)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:				
1. C	certified copies of the priority document	s have been received.			
2. 🗌 C	certified copies of the priority document	s have been received in Applicati	on No		
	copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bu attached detailed Office action for a list	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	_		
14) Acknowle	edgment is made of a claim for domesti	c priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(6	e) (to a provisional application).		
	e translation of the foreign language pro edgment is made of a claim for domest	• •			
Attachment(s)					
2) 🔲 Notice of Drafts	ences Cited (PTO-892) speನ್ಲಿಂ's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) closure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) <u>2</u>	5) Notice of Informal F	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)		
S. Patent and Trademark Off	ce		<del></del>		

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 09/775279

Art Unit: 3661

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Notice to Applicant(s)

- 1. This application has been examined. Claims 1-12 are pending.
- 2. The prior art submitted on 2/1/01 has been considered.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

- (e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371© of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.
- 4. Claims 1, and 4, as understood by examiner, are rejected under 35 U.S.C.102(e) as being anticipated by Rodrigues et al. (6,213,242).

As per claim 1, Rodrigues et al. disclose a method of controlling a vehicle drive having a 4,44 mode of operation and other modes of operation using an electronic control system providing a torque output in response to driver demand, comprising: controlling torque output when the vehicle is in the 4 4 mode using a calibration table stored in system memory and indicating a relationship of torque output as a function of accelerator pedal position and a speed parameter (see column 2, lines 26-63; and columns 3-4, lines 65-13), and controlling torque output when the vehicle is in one of the other modes of operation using a different calibration table stored in system memory (see columns 2-3, lines 63-11; and column 4, lines 13-24).

Application/Control Number: 09/775279 Page 3

Art Unit: 3661

As per claim 4, Rodrigues et al. disclose first calibration table indicates a relationship of torque output as a function of the accelerator pedal position and the speed parameter that reduces sensitivity of torque output to accelerator pedal position in the 4 4 mode of operation (see colum? 3, lines 13-64).

5. Claim 7, as understood by examiner, are rejected under 35 U.S.C.102(e) as being anticipated by Zalewski et al. (5,695,022).

As per claim 7, Zalewski et al. disclose a method of controlling a vehicle drive having a 4 4 low mode of operation and other modes of operation using an electronic control system providing a torque output in response to driver demand, comprising: controlling torque output when the vehicle is in the 4 4 low mode using a calibration table stored in system memory and indicating a relationship of torque output as a function of accelerator pedal position and a speed parameter (see the abstract; and columns 2-3, lines 36-30), and controlling torque output when the vehicle is in one of the other modes of operation using a different calibration table stored in system memory (see columns 8-10, lines 40-24).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 09/775279

Art Unit: 3661

7. Claims 2-3, and 6, as understood by examiner, are rejected under 35 U.S.C.103(a) as being unpatentable over Rodrigues et al. (6,213,242) in view of Zalewski et al. (5,695,022).

As per claim 2, Rodrigues et al. do not mention the torque output of the first calibration table comprises an output shaft torque value. However, Zalewski et al. mention for a vehicle drive including an automatic transmission, the torque output of the first calibration table comprises an output shaft torque value determined in response to accelerator pedal position and transmission output shaft speed (see columns 5-6, lines 58-50; and columns 11-12, lines 64-49). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the teach of Rodrigues et al. by mention for a vehicle drive including an automatic transmission, the torque output of the first calibration table comprises an output shaft torque value determined in response to accelerator pedal position and transmission output shaft speed for increasing transmission output torque to improve vehicle operating conditions.

As per claim 3, Zalewski et al. mention the output shaft torque value is provided for a drive gear mode (see columns 6-8, lines 52-39; and columns 12-14, lines 50-35).

Also as per claim 6, Zalewski et al. mention the speed parameter is transmission output shaft speed for a vehicle drive comprising an automatic transmission (see columns 4-5, lines 33-57; and columns 14-16, lines 37-24).

8. Claim 5, as understood by examiner, are rejected under 35 U.S.C.103(a) as being unpatentable over Rodrigues et al. (6,213,242) in view of Tolkacz et al. (5,855,533).

Application/Control Number: 09/775279 Page 5

Art Unit: 3061

As per claim 5, Tolkacz et al. mention the speed parameter is engine speed for a vehicle drive comprising a manual transmission (see columns 7-9, lines 63-39). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the teach of Rodrigues et al. by mention the speed parameter is engine speed for a vehicle drive comprising a manual transmission to provide control engine speed to be stable and within a prescribed speed range at the onset of the garage shift maneuver.

9. Claims 8-10 are system claims corresponding to method claims 1-2, and 7 above.

Therefore, they are rejected for the same rationales set forth as above.

Claims 11-12 are system claims corresponding to method claim 4 above. Therefore, they are rejected for the same rationales set forth as above.

#### Conclusion

- 10. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
  - . Afrey (5,522,776)
  - . Pritchard et al. (5,890,986)
  - . Oliveira et al. (6,251,045)
  - . Hubbard et al. (6,319,170)
- 11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to examiner Dalena Tran, whose telephone number is (703) 308-8223. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 7:00 AM-4:30 PM.

Application/Control Number: 09/775279

Art Unit: 3661

If a tempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, William Cuchlinski, can be reached on (703) 308-3873.

# Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Washington, D.C. 20231

### or faxed to:

(703) 305-7687, (for informal or draft communications, please label "PROPOSED" or "DRAFT")

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park V, 2451 Crystal Drive, Arlington. VA., Seventh Floor (Receptionist).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1113.

TAN NGUYEN PRIMARY EXAMINE

/dt

January 08, 2002